IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI. REVN. APPLICATION NO. 437 OF 2004

Baburay P. Salunke .. Applicant.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents.

Shri V.N. Shingnapurkar for the Applicant.
Shri A.S.Gadkari, APP for Respondent No.1- State.
Shri Eja Khan for CBI.

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.
DATED : FEBRUARY 15, 2005

PC :-

. Rule. Rule returnable forthwith. As short question is involved, the application is taken up for hearing forthwith by consent.

2. Counsel for the Respondent No.2, who is the contesting Respondent, states on instructions that Respondent No.2 has no objection if the relief in terms of prayer clause 10(ii) is granted on condition that the investments which are already made with the respondents, will be renewed by the petitioner/ applicant with the respondent No.2 as per the available scheme with the respondent No.2.

3. In case, no scheme of Respondent No.2 is prevalent then the amount will be invested in the fixed deposit in any Nationalised Bank in consultation with Respondent No.2. Petition succeeds in the above terms.

4. Ordered accordingly.